organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Abdul-Basit Alhassan, Peter Norris and Matthias Zeller*

Department of Chemistry, Youngstown State University, 1 University Plaza, Youngstown, OH 44555-3663, USA

Correspondence e-mail: mzeller@cc.ysu.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.033 wR factor = 0.085 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

1,2,3,4-Tetra-O-acetyl-*a*-L-fucopyranose

The major product of the acetylation of L-fucose using acetic anhydride (Ac₂O) in pyridine was identifed by single-crystal diffraction at 100 K as 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl- α -L-fucopyranose, C₁₄H₂₀O₉.

Received 7 October 2005 Accepted 10 October 2005 Online 15 October 2005

Comment

The anomeric acetates 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl- α -L-fucopyranose, (2), and 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl- β -L-fucopyranose, (3), are vital intermediates for glycosylation chemistry en route to more complex saccharide products (Chervin et al., 2002). Compounds (2) and (3) have been synthesized by acetylation of L-fucose (1) using acetic anhydride (Ac₂O) in pyridine, and more recently using Ac₂O and a scandium(III) catalyst (Lee et al., 2002) or by I2-catalyzed reaction with Ac2O (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2004). In the high-yield acetylation of L-fucose (1) using acetic anhydride in pyridine, formation of both the α and the β -tetraacetates (2) and (3) is evident from the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product mixture (ratio of 14:1 by ¹H NMR), but the major isomer can be selectively crystallized by vapor diffusion of hexanes into an ethyl acetate solution of the mixture. The solid state structure of the major isomer was determined at 100 K and it was thus unambiguously identified as the α -isomer, (2), with the C1 acetate group occupying the axial position at the anomeric C atom.



The compound crystallizes in the non-centrosymmetric orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$, with four symmetryequivalent molecules in the unit cell. As expected for a sixmembered cyclohexane-type ring, the pyranose group assumes one of the two possible chair conformations (Fig. 1). The acetate groups at C1 and C4 are in axial positions; those at C2 and C3 and the methyl substituent at C5 are in equatorial positions. The choice in favor of this conformation can be largely attributed to the anomeric stabilization at atom C1 by the adjacent pyranose O atom. In addition, the equatorial positions of the sterically most encumbered acetate groups at C2 and C3 allow for a minimization of the steric interaction within the molecule, thus further favoring the conformation found in the solid state. All the bond lengths and angles of the molecule are unexceptional and within their expected ranges.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

Experimental

In a flame-dried 100 ml round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar and septum, L-fucose (2.5 g, 15.2 mmol) was dissolved in acetic anhydride (7.5 ml, 79.86 mmol) and anhydrous pyridine (25 ml) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred in an ice bath until thin-layer chromatography (1:1 hexaneethyl acetate, Rf = 0.44) showed consumption of the starting material (ca 3 h). The mixture was poured into 50 ml of ice-water and, after the ice had completely melted, the organic product was extracted using dichloromethane $(3 \times 25 \text{ ml})$. The combined extracts were washed with 5% H_2SO_4 (3 × 30 ml) and once with distilled water (50 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, to yield 4.89 g of α/β -tetraacetyl-Lfucose as a colorless syrup (97% yield). Integration of the ¹H NMR spectrum showed the ratio of the isomers to be 14:1. The α -anomer (2) selectively crystallized as large blocks suitable for X-ray diffraction by vapor diffusion of hexanes into an ethyl acetate solution of the mixture.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections $\theta = 2.4 - 30.5^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.11~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 (2) K

Block, colorless

 $0.60 \times 0.49 \times 0.42 \text{ mm}$

Cell parameters from 8444

Crystal data

 $C_{14}H_{20}O_9$ $M_r = 332.30$ Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ a = 9.9942 (9) Å b = 10.3822 (9) Å c = 15.8183 (14) Å V = 1641.3 (3) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.345$ Mg m⁻³

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD	2322 independent reflections
diffractometer	2260 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
(SADABS in SAINT-Plus;	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
Bruker, 2003)	$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$
$T_{\min} = 0.877, \ T_{\max} = 0.95$	$l = -21 \rightarrow 20$
16820 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0533P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$	+ 0.3281P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.085$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2322 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
213 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with a C–H bond distance of 0.98 Å (methyl) or 1.00 Å (others). They were refined with isotropic displacement parameters of 1.5 times (methyl) or 1.2 times (others) $U_{eq}(C)$. Methyl groups were allowed to rotate to best fit the experimental electron density. In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Friedel pairs were merged prior to refinement. The absolute configuration was assigned assuming that the known stereochemistry of the C atoms was not changed during the synthesis of the compound. The s.u. values of the cell parameters





The molecular structure of (2), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.

are taken from the software, recognizing that the values are unreasonably small (Herbstein, 2000).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

ABA and PN were supported by the National Institutes of Health (R15 AI053112-01) and the diffractometer was funded by NSF grant 0087210, by Ohio Board of Regents grant CAP-491 and by YSU.

References

- Bruker (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2002). *SMART*. Verison 5.630, for WNT/2000. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2003). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.45. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chervin, S. M., Lowe, J. B. & Koreeda, M. (2002). J. Org. Chem. 67, 5654–5662. Herbstein, F. H. (2000). Acta Cryst. B56, 547–557.
- Lee, J.-C., Tai, C.-A. & Hung, S.-C. (2002). Tetrahedron Lett. 43, 851-855.
- Mukhopadhyay, B., Kartha, K. P. R., Russell, D. A. & Field, R. A. (2004). J. Org. Chem. 69, 7758–7760.